

PC17/FCPF Technical Advisory Panel

Paraguay Draft R-PP: Initial comments by the TAP

July 2-July 04, 2014 FCPF Participants Committee Lima, Peru

Eduardo Morales and five other TAP reviewers

Country Context: Paraguay



- ✓ Area and population : 40.6 million ha, 6,273,103 million people
- Languages: Spanish and Guaraní
- ✓ Low income country agriculture & services: Soy and livestock Electricity
- ✓ Forests & Woodlands 16.6 million ha, majority degraded, high biological diversity
- ✓ 3 main rivers; 12 National Parks, 6.0 million ha, Forest Reserves, 160,000 ha including Community Forests
- ✓ Total deforestation rate over the last 21 years is 5.3 million ha (32%)
- Annual rate of deforestation (1990 2011)

West Region: 152,880 ha

East Region: 99,780 ha

Country overall: 252,660 ha (1.52%)

Strengths of the RPP Document

- In the current version of the R-PP, ideas are presented in a comprehensive manner with abundant supporting material, including maps, figures and tables. The way in which the R-PP was presented has responded to the terms of reference set out in the R-PP Template.
- An exhaustive, inclusive and transparent stakeholder consultation process has been described.
- The revised R-PP document reflects the contributions of the UN-REDD National Joint Project.

Key Issues and Recommendations:

- Explain how the SESA working group relates to the proposed REDD+ technical committee.
- Develop a more detailed work plan including the identification of stakeholders for SESA and the ESMF.

Overall Summary

Standard	Review June 13, 2014
1a. National Readiness Management Arrangements	Met
1b. Information Sharing and Stakeholder Dialogue	Met
1c. Consultation and Participation Process	Met
2a. Land Use, Forest Law, Policy and Governance	Met
2b. REDD+ Strategy Options	Met
2c. Implementation Framework	Met
2d. Social & Environmental Impacts during Preparation and Implementation	Largely Met
3. Reference Level	Met
4a. Monitoring – Emissions and Removals	Met
4b. Other Multiple Benefits, Impacts and Governance	Met
5. Schedule and Budget	Met
6. Program Monitoring & Evaluation Framework	Met